

## SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT: LESSONS FROM THE MINDMAP CITIES



# MINDMAP



# New MINDMAP evidence for decision making

#### **Urban policies**



# How can evidence effectively reach stakeholders?

**MINDMAP** 



## **CITY STAKEHOLDER PLATFORMS**



MINDMAP

## **CITY STAKEHOLDER PLATFORMS**



1:60.000.000 | Made with Natural Earth

MINDMAP

1:275.000.000







## THE THREE STEPS TURIN CASE STUDY: PROSPECTIVE



- Co-investigating health inequalities
- **Evidence in Turin** -
- Mechanisms of generation
- Solutions to reduce them
- Responsibilities

# MINDMAP

Co-decision:

- Target setting
- **Priority setting**

Co-creation:

- Local actions to reduce inequalities in diabetes
- City actions to enable local actions

7



### THE TURIN CASE STUDY

1ST PHASE: Nov 2017-March 2018

**CITY LEVEL** 

# THE TURIN REVIEW:



# MINDMAP







## **PARTICIPATORY WORK ON:**

November 2017

Facts - Knowledge transfer and dissemination General presentation of scientific data and evidences

## December 2017

Explanations - Interdisciplinary work groups Sharing experiences & best practices

## January 2018

Solutions - policy framework to orient decisions and concrete actions

defining problems, solutions, resources, responsibilities, tools and methods for action, developing a participative approach, to propose potential inter-sectoral actions

# MINDMAP











THE TURIN CASE STUDY



# FROM THEORY TO ACTION

What to do? How to do?

# PUBLIC COMMITTMENT





MINDMAP

#### Policy / Intervention

Quality of work and organization Promoting quality of work Work-life time reconciliation and territorial services Work-linked training

Employment Job-matching and emplyment services Guidance (educational and vocational) Vocationl training

Social protection and empowerment Income support Responding to social housing demand Social integration of migrants Shelters and services for Women and Minors Active inclusion and community promotion strategies

Education and cultural promotion Facing school drop-out and prevention Promoting the socio-cultural youth development Economic support for education

Quality of the living environment Reduction of pollution and increased salutogenicity Improvement of green areas Urban brownfield sites regeneration

Health and social integration Services and residences for not-self-sufficent eldery people Home-care promotion

Job placement, supported in a protected work context

 Health promotion and health care

 Healthy lifestyles promotion

 Promoting mental health

 Promoting access barriers to quality care



THE TURIN CASE STUDY



# FROM THEORY TO ACTION

What to do? How to do?

# PUBLIC COMMITTMENT



#### Policy / Intervention

Quality of work and organization Promoting quality of work Work-life time reconciliation and territorial services Work-linked training

Employment Job-matching and emplyment services Guidance (educational and vocational) Vocationl training

Social protection and empowerment Income support Responding to social housing demand Social integration of migrants Shelters and services for Women and Minors Active inclusion and community promotion strategies

Education and cultural promotion Facing school drop-out and prevention Promoting the socio-cultural youth development

The main policy makers take the responsibility to drive and bring the change:

New commitment "setting targets and priorities"



MINDMAP

## THE TURIN CASE STUDY (CO-DECISION) 2ND PHASE: July-Sept 2018





#### Policy / Intervention

Quality of work and organization Promoting quality of work Work-life time reconciliation and territorial services Work-linked training

Employment Job-matching and emplyment services Guidance (educational and vocational) VocationI training

Social protection and empowerment Income support Responding to social housing demand Social integration of migrants Shelters and services for Women and Minors Active inclusion and community promotion strategies

#### Education and cultural promotion

Facing school drop-out and prevention Promoting the socio-cultural youth development Economic support for education

#### Quality of the living environment

Reduction of pollution and increased salutogenicity Improvement of green areas Urban brownfield sites regeneration

#### Health and social integration

Services and residences for not-self-sufficent eldery people Home-care promotion

Job placement, supported in a protected work context

#### Health promotion and health care

Healthy lifestyles promotion Promoting mental health Reducing access barriers to quality care





# Ranking of policies according to expected impact on reducing social inequalities in premature mortality and do-ability.

| Policy / Intervention  | Global score |        |             |
|--|--------------|--------|-------------|
| Social integration of migrants                                 | 88,7         |        |             |
| Healthy lifestyles promotion                                   | 77,3         |        |             |
| Facing school drop-out and prevention                          | 71,2         |        |             |
| Economic supports for education                                | 69,7         |        |             |
| Promoting mental health  | 63,7         |        |             |
| Active inclusion and community promotion strategies            | 62,4         |        |             |
| Work-linked training   | 56,4         |        |             |
| Income support   | 54,0         |        |             |
| Vocational training  | 50,5         |        |             |
| Guidance (educational and vocational)                          | 49,0         |        |             |
| Promoting the socio-cultural youth development                 | 46,9         |        |             |
| Home-care services promotion                                   | 46,4         |        |             |
| Job-matching and employment services                           | 43,8         |        | -           |
| Services and residences for not-self-sufficient elderly people | 42,7         | Legend | la          |
| Job placement, supported in a protected work context           | 37,9         | •      | Extreme     |
| Work-life time reconciliation and territorial services         | 36,9         |        | Very strong |
| Reducing access barriers to quality care                       | 25,9         |        |             |
| Shelters and services for Women and Minors                     | 25,5         | •      | Strong      |
| Responding to social housing demand                            | 22,2         | •      | Moderate    |
| Reduction of pollution and increased salutogenicity            | 15,0         |        | Weak        |
| Urban brownfield sites regeneration                            | 7,5          | _      |             |
| Improvement of green areas                                     | 7,1          |        | Very weak   |
| Promotina aualitu in work                                      | 6,0          |        | Null        |
|  |              |        |             |





6.0

# Ranking of policies according to expected impact on reducing social inequalities in premature mortality and do-ability.

| Policy / Intervention                 | Global score |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Social integration of migrants        | 88,7         |
| Healthy lifestyles promotion          | 77,3         |
| Facing school drop-out and prevention | 71,2         |
| Economic supports for education       | 69,7         |
| Promoting mental health               | 63,7         |

To exploit the potential for interaction between interventions that are more promising in reducing health inequalities

To focus on the more deprived areas of the city

To search for the best window of opportunity (the new chronic disease strategy: diabetes as a pilot)

Improvement of green areas Promoting guality in work





"All the stores are closed at the local mall"



"The uneven roads, the fear falling down makes me feel bad"

# MINDMAP

### Prevalence of Diabetes 2 Turin 2017, age standardized





• These inequalities, if well communicated, are able to motivate and push the local stakeholders in understanding causes and finding solutions

-Why diabetes prevalence is so unequal? -Which are the mechanisms generating these inequalities -Who's the responsibility for avoiding these mechanisms?

• Two local communities of practice have been committed this mandate

-health professionals (GPs, specialists, pharmacies, nurses, primary care districts) as for the responsibility in equal early diagnosis and treatment,

-local community actors (social housing, employment, poverty, schools, culture, leisure time facilities, green spaces, urban planning, food retails, voluntary sector) as for the responsibility in equal prevention

# MINDMAP





- These inequalities, if well communicated, are able to motivate and push the local stakeholders in understanding causes and finding solutions
  - -Why diabetes prevalence is so unequal?
    -Which are the mechanisms generating these inequalities
    -Who's the responsibility for avoiding these mechanisms?
- Two local communities of practice have been committed this mandate A plan of innovation is being implemented by the two local communities of practices involving the responsibility:
  - -of the same local stakeholders if the actions are within their reach
    -of the city institutions and actors when feasible
    (a city level community of practice)
    -of the Turin Social Impact platform, a fourth community of practice of more
    than hundred local private enterprises interested to particip
    social and environmental innovative actions funded by the



MINDMAP





## Programme HM (Habitat Microaree) – A Caring City Friuli Venezia Giulia Region, Trieste, Italy

**Social, health and housing joint program targeting 15 MicroAreas** (500 to 2,500 residents) with high deprivation, public housing, ageing, in place since 2005.

Aiming to create effective **integration** between sectors and influence life contexts, actively involving the local community to reinforce **social cohesion** and promote and protect **health** 

Total **costs** between €100-200.000 per year per MA



# Austerity post crisis: sustainability?

A participated assessment of what works and why



# THE TRIESTE CASE STUDY: RETROSPECTIVE





### QUALITATIVE

- action research with 40 professionals of the local team
- social mechanisms activated
- increasing specific properties of SOCIAL CAPITAL
- enforcing CAPABILITIES
- to face critical problems (list of 24)

#### QUANTITATIVE

How and why the intervention improved the capacity to face the 24 problems? Among

- 200 treated in MA
- 200 untreated in MA
- 200 untreated out of the MA







## RESULTS

- Treatment addressed more affected by the 24 problems
- Treated benefited more from the mechanisms of generation of social capital
  Mental health among treated was better than expected had they never been treated

## IMPACT

- SOCIAL CAPITAL as a resource generator (more active and passive relationships and more sense making)
- TRUST as a positive expectation of cooperation
- PUBLIC SERVICES capable of activation of people and of improving quality and integration of the interventions

# MINDMAP



MINDMAP

### AMSTERDAM – ROTTERDAM – HAMBURG – LONDON? – HELSINKI STAKEHOLDERS ENGAGEMENT

|                                    | Amsterdam  | Rotterdam   | Hamburg   | London                                  | Helsinki  |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| Type of<br>engagement              | Workshop: 27<br>participants   | Workshop: 80<br>participants  | Workshop: 30 participants   | Workshop:<br>suspended for<br>lock down | Fact sheet<br>dissemination   |
| Policy<br>priorities               | <ul> <li>Integrated elderly<br/>policies between<br/>national and local level</li> <li>Vulnerable old adults</li> <li>Public transports</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Green in the city</li> <li>Quality of housing and facilities</li> <li>Clean and safe cities</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Connection<br/>between the<br/>city and the<br/>metropolitan<br/>region</li> <li>To combine<br/>sustainability<br/>and social<br/>justice</li> <li>Long term<br/>supports for<br/>social spaces</li> </ul> |   | There should be<br>integrated<br>planning of well-<br>being and health<br>promotion in<br>cities (from City<br>of Helsinki) |
| Evaluation<br>from<br>participants | The final list of policy<br>priorities was very<br>relevant for elderly<br>mental health promotion   | Need for more<br>concrete<br>directions: what<br>to do in practice  |   |   |   |
| Evalutation<br>from<br>organizers  | Nominal group and world<br>café gave voice to all the<br>participants (generative<br>of ideas). Some<br>preparation is requested.                  | Good discussion<br>but not enough<br>concrete in term<br>of action plans<br>for practice                        |   |   |   |

## **CITY STAKEHOLDER PLATFORMS**

• Yes it can be done

Turin: focus on health equity Trieste: focus on social capital

and more from: Amsterdam, Rotterdam, London, Hamburg and Helsinki

# But under the condition that...

MINDMAP



Hamer L, Jacobson B, Flowers J et al. Health Equity audit made simple. Working document. NHS HAD 2003



Hamer L, Jacobson B, Flowers J et al. Health Equity audit made simple. Working document. NHS HAD 2003



Hamer L, Jacobson B, Flowers J et al. Health Equity audit made simple. Working document. NHS HAD 2003



## LESSONS FROM THE TRIESTE CASE STUDY (RETROSPECTIVE)





EVIDENCE AND DECISION MAKING IN THE URBAN SETTING

Monitor progress and impact Engage with partners and scope issues at stake

Build the health profile

NO DATA NO PROBLEM Action research Health(equity) metric

Support the change with resources and supply of services

Set the targets with the partners Identify the potential solutions



## EVIDENCE AND DECISION MAKING IN THE URBAN SETTING



Hamer L, Jacobson B, Flowers J et al. Health Equity audit made simple. Working document. NHS HAD 2003

Build the

health profile

TURIN

**REVIEW** 

Identify the

potential

solutions



## **ROLE OF EVIDENCE IN** INTEGRATED COMMUNITY CARE/PREVENTION EXPERIENCES

- Typology of experiences
  - Drivers: person, policy, professional, grass root
  - Focus: care/wellbeing, community, environment
  - **Core ingredients**: home, place, assets, partnership



- Intended impact
  - Co-production: change agents, local alliances, individual/community original
  - Strenghten communities: more equal public health at the core experiment, enabling co-creation
     Evaluation/adaptation of process/impact (action research)
- Six common qualities of intentional strategic actions of ICC/P (not a new tool but a societal process) SOCIAL CAPITAL
  - Integrated action (btw professionals/sectors) centered on (equal) need
  - Active and pair role of the person (resources, competences, voir
  - Professionals generating horizontal relationships btw per
  - Active role of the place/environment close to the person
  - Collective rites integrated in daily life, shaping identity btw professional and people
  - Alliances/partnership btw sectors to facilitate access and use of available resources MINDMAF Promoting mental well-being and healthy ageing in cities

, through